

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W.

KORNING IENOSIBO 10 MOSTINGTON PO NOBULIO PEUDSIBU JU WASHINGTO, D.C. 20536 od batalab eten giniviuna

File:

Office: MIAMI, FLORIDA

Date: **DEC** 17 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

Application:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8

U.S.C. 1182(h)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Acting District Director, Miami, Florida, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Jamaica who is inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), for having been convicted of violating a law relating to a controlled substance. The applicant is the beneficiary of an approved petition for alien relative filed on her behalf by her naturalized United States citizen son. She seeks a waiver of this permanent bar to admission as provided under section 212(h) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1182(h), in order to remain in the United States and adjust her status to permanent residence.

The acting district director concluded that the applicant's drugrelated offense for the possession of seven pounds of cannabis rendered her ineligible for a waiver of inadmissibility and denied the application accordingly.

On appeal, counsel states that the applicant has lived in the United States for nineteen years and has four United States citizen children, ages twelve through twenty-five. Counsel asserts that the applicant was convicted in 1986 through a plea agreement but was not guilty and is seeking to have her conviction set aside. Counsel argues that plea agreements involve a quid pro quo between a criminal defendant and the government, and that there is little doubt that alien defendants considering whether to enter such agreements are acutely aware of the immigration consequences of their convictions. The specific applicability of this argument to the case at hand is not further addressed by counsel.

The record reflects that the applicant was convicted on July 25, 1986 in the Circuit Court in and for Dade County, Florida, of the offense of Possession of Cannabis (case # 86-1665CFB). The arrest report for this case indicates that a total of seven (7) pounds of marijuana were found in the applicant's possession.

In <u>Matter of Roldan-Santoyo</u>, Interim Decision 3377 (BIA 1999), The Board of Immigration Appeals held that the policy exception in <u>Matter of Manrique</u>, which accorded Federal First Offender treatment to certain drug offenders is superseded by the enactment of section 101(a)(48)(A) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(48)(A). Under the statutory definition of the term "conviction," no effect is to be given in immigration proceedings to a state action which purports to expunge, dismiss, cancel, vacate, discharge or otherwise remove a guilty plea or other record of guilt or conviction by operation of a state rehabilitative statute. Once an alien is subject to a "conviction" as that term is defined in section 101(a)(48)(A) of the Act, the alien remains convicted for immigration purposes notwithstanding a subsequent state action purporting to erase the

original determination of guilt through a rehabilitative procedure. Section 212(a) of the Act states:

CLASSES OF ALIENS INELIGIBLE FOR VISAS OR ADMISSION.-Except as otherwise provided in this Act, aliens who are ineligible under the following paragraphs are ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States:

* * *

- (2) CRIMINAL AND RELATED GROUNDS .-
 - (A) CONVICTION OF CERTAIN CRIMES. -
 - (i) IN GENERAL. Except as provided in clause (ii), an alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing such acts which constitute the essential elements of-

* * *

(II) a violation of (or a conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substance Act (21 U.S.C. 802), is inadmissible.

Section 212(h) of the Act states:

The Attorney General may, in his discretion, waive application of... subparagraph (A)(i)(II) of such subsection insofar as it relates to a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana if-

- (1) (A) in the case of any immigrant it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General that-
 - (i)...the activities for which the alien is inadmissible occurred more than 15 years before the date of the alien's application for a visa, admission, or adjustment of status,
 - (ii) the admission to the United States of such alien would not be contrary to the national welfare, safety, or security of the United States, and
 - (iii) the alien has been rehabilitated; or

- (B) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse, parent, son, or daughter of a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General that the alien's denial of admission would result in extreme hardship to the United States citizen or lawfully resident spouse, parent, son, or daughter of such alien; and
- (2) the Attorney General, in his discretion, and pursuant to such terms, conditions and procedures as he may by regulations prescribe, has consented to the alien's applying or reapplying for a visa, for admission to the United States, or adjustment of status.

No waiver shall be provided under this subsection in the case of an alien who has been convicted of (or who has admitted committing acts that constitute) murder criminal acts involving torture, or an attempt conspiracy to commit murder or a criminal act involving torture. No waiver shall be granted under this subsection in the case of an alien who has previously been admitted to the United States as an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if either since the date of such admission the alien has been convicted of an aggravated felony or the alien has not lawfully resided continuously in the United States for a period of not less than 7 years immediately preceding the date of initiation of proceedings to remove the alien from the United States. No court shall have jurisdiction to review a decision of the Attorney General to grant or deny a waiver under this subsection. (Emphasis added.)

The record reflects that the applicant was convicted of a violation relating to a controlled substance involving other than a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana. The applicant is therefore ineligible for the waiver requested and no other waiver is available.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(h), the burden of establishing that the application merits approval remains entirely with the applicant. Matter of Ngai, 19 I&N Dec. 245 (Comm. 1984). Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.